| STATE OF INDIANA |) | IN THE VIGO Vigo Superior Court 1 COURT |
|------------------|------------|---|
| COUNTY OF VIGO |) SS:) | CAUSE NO. 84D01-2107-CT-004178 |
| NANCY GARRARD | | |
| VS. | | |

KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP I

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

Comes now the plaintiff, Nancy Garrard, by counsel, Ken Nunn Law Office, and for cause of action against the defendant, Kroger Limited Partnership I, alleges and says:

- 1. That on or about April 8, 2021, the plaintiff, Nancy Garrard, was a customer at the Kroger store located at 4714 South US Highway 41 in Terre Haute, Vigo County, Indiana.
- 2. That on or about April 8, 2021, the plaintiff, Nancy Garrard, slipped and fell in liquid on the floor at said location, causing the plaintiff to suffer serious injuries.
- 3. That it was the duty of the defendant to use ordinary care and diligence to keep and maintain the said premises in a condition reasonably safe for its intended uses and free from all defects and conditions which would render the premises dangerous and unsafe for plaintiff, or present an unreasonable risk of harm to plaintiff in her lawful use of same.
- 4. That it was the duty of the defendant to exercise reasonable care to protect plaintiff, by inspection and other affirmative acts, from the danger of reasonably foreseeable injury occurring from reasonably foreseeable use of said premises.
- 5. That it was the duty of the defendant to have available sufficient personnel and equipment to properly inspect and maintain the aforesaid premises in a condition reasonably safe for plaintiff and free from defects and conditions rendering the premises unsafe.
- 6. That it was the duty of the defendant to warn plaintiff of the dangerous and unsafe condition existing on said premises.
- 7. That the defendant knew or should have known of the unreasonable risk of danger to the plaintiff but failed either to discover it or to correct it after discovery.

- 8. That the fall and resultant permanent injuries of plaintiff were caused by the negligence of the defendant who failed to utilize reasonable care in the inspection and maintenance of said premises.
- 9. That the aforesaid acts of negligence on the part of the defendant were the proximate cause of the injuries sustained by the plaintiff.
- 10. That the plaintiff has incurred medical expenses and other special expenses, and will incur future medical expenses, lost wages and other special expenses, as a direct and proximate result of defendant's negligence.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff demands judgment against the defendant for permanent injuries in a reasonable amount to be determined at the trial of this cause, for medical expenses and other special expenses, for future medical expenses, lost wages and other special expenses, court costs, and all other proper relief in the premises.

KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE

BY: s/Daniel Gore

Daniel Gore, #31322-53 KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE 104 South Franklin Road Bloomington, IN 47404 Phone: (812) 332-9451

Fax: (812) 331-5321

E-mail: dgore@kennunn.com

REQUEST FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Comes now the plaintiff, by counsel, Ken Nunn Law Office, and requests that this matter be tried by jury pursuant to Trial Rule 38.

-3-

KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE

BY: <u>s/Daniel Gore</u>

Daniel Gore, #31322-53 KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE 104 South Franklin Road Bloomington, IN 47404 Phone: (812) 332-9451

Fax: (812) 331-5321

E-mail: dgore@kennunn.com

Daniel Gore, #31322-53 Ken Nunn Law Office 104 South Franklin Road Bloomington, IN 47404 Telephone: 812-332-9451 Fax Number: 812-331-5321

Attorney for Plaintiff

APPEARANCE FORM (CIVIL) Initiating Party

Vigo Superior Court 1

| | CAUSE NO: 84D01-2107-CT-004178 | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| 1. | Name of first initiating party | Nancy Garrard 8049 Nene Court Terre Haute, IN 47805 | |
| 2. | Telephone of pro se initiating party | NA | |
| 3. | Attorney information (as applicable for service of process) | Daniel Gore #31322-53 Ken Nunn Law Office 104 South Franklin Road Bloomington, IN 47404 PHONE: 812 332-9451 FAX: 812 331-5321 Email: dgore@kennunn.com | |
| 4. | Case type requested | CT (Civil Tort) | |
| 5. | Will accept FAX service | YES | |
| 6. | Are there related cases | NO | |
| 7. | Additional information required by State or Local Rules | | |
| Continuation of Item 1 (Names of initiating parties) | | NAME: NAME: | |
| | inuation of Item 3 (Attorney information plicable for service of process) | | |

s/Daniel Gore

Attorney-at-Law

(Attorney information shown above.)

XX

By certified or registered mail with return receipt to above address.

By serving his agent as provided by rule, statute or valid agreement, to-wit:

his dwelling house or usual place of abode.

house or usual place of abode with some person of suitable age and discretion residing therein.

Vigo County, Indiana

CIRCUIT AND SUPERIOR COURTS FOR THE COUNTY OF VIGO STATE OF INDIANA COURTHOUSE, 33 SOUTH 3RD STREET TERRE HAUTE, INDIANA 47807

| Nancy Garrard | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | Plaintiff(s) | | |
| VS. | No. No. Vigo Superior Court 1 | | |
| Kroger Limited Partnership I | vigo caponol count i | | |
| | Defendant(s) | | |
| | SUMMONS | | |
| The State of Indiana to Defendant: R Pennsylvania Street, Suite 1610, In | Kroger Limited Partnership I, c/o Corporation Service Company, 135 North Indianapolis, IN 46204 | | |
| You have been sued by the | person(s) named "plaintiff" in the court stated above. | | |
| The nature of the suit again demand which the plaintiff has made | st you is stated in the complaint which is attached to this document. It also states the and wants from you. | | |
| You must answer the complaint in writing, by you or your attorney, within Twenty (20) days, commencing the day after you receive this summons, or judgment will be entered against you for what the plaintiff has demanded. You have twenty-three (23) days to answer if this summons was received by mail. Such Answer Must Be Made In Court. | | | |
| If you have a claim for relie your written answer. | of against the plaintiff arising from the same transaction or occurrence, you must assert it in | | |
| Date: 7/22/2021 | CLERK, VIGO CIRCUIT/SUPERIOR COURTS OF MB | | |
| DANIEL GORE, #31322-53 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE 104 FRANKLIN ROAD BLOOMINGTON, IN 47404 TELEPHONE: (812)332-9451 | SEAL | | |
| | ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS | | |
| A copy of the above summons and 2021. | d a copy of the complaint attached thereto were received by me atthisday of, | | |
| PRAECIPE: I designate the following mode | SIGNATURE OF DEFENDANT of service to be used by the Clerk. | | |

KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE

d elivering a copy of summons and complaint personally to defendant or by leaving a copy of the summons and complaint at

By Sheriff delivering a copy of summons and complaint personally to defendant or by leaving a copy of the summons and complaint at his dwelling

BY: s/ DANIEL GORE ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

| CERTIFICATE OF MAILING: I certify that on the day of, 2021, I maile defendant(s) by (registered or certified mail requesting a return receipt signed by the add furnished by plaintiff. | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Dated thisday of, 2021. | | | |
| | CLERK, VIGO CIRCUIT/SUPERIOR COURTS | | |
| RETURN OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS BY MAIL: I hereby certify that service of, 2021, and that a copy of the return of receipt was received by me on the d | | | |
| | CLERK, VIGO CIRCUIT/SUPERIOR COURTS | | |
| CERTIFICATE OF CLERK OF SUMMONS NOT ACCEPTED BY MAIL: I here this summons and a copy of the complaint to the defendant(s) by (registered or certified), 2021, and I did deliver said summons and a copy of the complaint to the She | mail, and the same was returned without acceptance thisday of | | |
| Dated this day of | | | |
| | CLERK, VIGO CIRCUIT/SUPERIOR COURTS | | |
| RETURN OF SUMMONS: This summons came to hand on theday of, 20 | 21, and I served the same on the day of , 2021. | | |
| 1. By mailing a copy of the summons and complaint personally to | address . | | |
| By delivering a copy of summons and complaint personally to By leaving a copy of the summons and complaint at | t he dwelling house or usual place of abode of | | |
| defendant:(Na me of Person) and of, 2 021 to h is last known | d by mailing by first class mail a copy of the summons on the day | | |
| 4. By serving his agent as provided by rule, statute or valid agreement | nt to-wit: | | |
| 5. Defendant cannot be found in my bailwick and summons was not served. | | | |
| And I now return this writ thisday of, 2021. | | | |
| | SHERIFF or DEPUTY | | |
| RETURN ON SERVICE OF SUMMONS: I hereby certify that I have served the with | in summons: | | |
| 1. By delivery on theday of, 2 021 a copy of this su defendant(s) | immons and a copy of the complaint to each of the within named | | |
| 2. By leaving on theday of, 2021 | | | |
| for each of the within named defendant(s) , dwelling house or usual place of abode witha person of suita | a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint at the respective | | |
| include prompt communication of such information to the person served. | and and another restains are remained usual dates of delivities | | |
| 3. | a nd by mailing a copy of the summons without the | | |
| complaint to a t All done in Vigo County, Indiana. | t he last known address of defendant(s). | | |
| Fees: \$ | | | |

SHERIFF or DEPUTY

IN THE VIGO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT NO. 1

| NANCY GARRARD, |) | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Plaintiff, |) CAUSE NO. 84D01-2107-CT-004178 | | |
| v. |)) | | |
| KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP I, |))) | | |
| Defendant. | <i>)</i>) | | |
| APPEA | RANCE FORM | | |
| Party Classification: Initiating Respon | nding 🔀 Intervening 🗌 | | |
| 1. The undersigned attorney and all attorneys listed on this form now appear in this case for the following party member(s): <u>Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I</u> . | | | |
| 2. Applicable attorney information for service as required by Trial Rule 5(B)(2) and for case information as required by Trial Rules 3.1 and 77(B) is as follows: | | | |
| Name: Donald B. Kite, Sr., #11601 Address: 8082 Stafford Lane Indianapolis, IN 46204 | -41 Phone: 317.697-5046 Fax: Email: don.kite@gmail.com | | |
| 3. There are other party members: Yes1 | No X (If yes, list on continuation page.) | | |
| 4. <i>If first initiating party filing this case</i> , the Clerk is requested to assign this case the following Case Type under Administrative Rule 8(b)(3): | | | |
| 5. I will accept service by FAX at the above noted number: Yes NoX | | | |
| 6. This case involves support issues: Yes No _ X | | | |
| 7. There are related cases: Yes No | X (If yes, list on continuation page.) | | |
| 8. This form has been served on all other parties and Certificate of Service is attached: Yes 🖂 No | | | |
| _ | Respectfully submitted, | | |
| | /s/ Donald B. Kite, Sr. Donald B. Kite, Sr., #11601-41 Attorney for Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I | | |

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on August 12, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing document using the Indiana E-Filing System (IEFS). I also certify that on August 12, 2021, the foregoing document was served upon the following persons by IEFS:

Daniel Gore, #31322-53 KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE 104 S. Franklin Road Bloomington, IN 47404

/s/ Donald B. Kite, Sr. Donald B. Kite, Sr.

ATTORNEY AT LAW 8082 Stafford Lane Indianapolis, IN 46260

IN THE VIGO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT NO. 1

| NANCY GARRARD, |) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Plaintiff, |) CAUSE NO. 84D01-2107-CT-004178 |
| v. |) |
| KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP I, |) |
| Defendant. |) |

DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I, by counsel, for its Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages states:

- 1. Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I admits that on April 8, 2021, the Plaintiff, Nancy Garrard, was present in the Kroger store which is located at 4714 South US Highway 31, Terre Haute, Vigo County, Indiana. However, the Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations which are contained in rhetorical paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages.
- 2. Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I admits that on April 8, 2021, the Plaintiff reported that she slipped and fell. However, the Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations which are contained in rhetorical paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages.
- 3. Inasmuch as the allegations which are contained within rhetorical paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages constitute legal allegations rather than factual averments, Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I makes no response to the same.

- 4. Inasmuch as the allegations which are contained within rhetorical paragraph 4 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages constitute legal allegations rather than factual averments, Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I makes no response to the same.
- 5. Inasmuch as the allegations which are contained within rhetorical paragraph 5 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages constitute legal allegations rather than factual averments, Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I makes no response to the same.
- 6. Inasmuch as the allegations which are contained within rhetorical paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages constitute legal allegations rather than factual averments, Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I makes no response to the same.
- 7. Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I denies the allegations which are contained in rhetorical paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages.
- 8. Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I denies the allegations which are contained in rhetorical paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages.
- 9. Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I denies the allegations which are contained in rhetorical paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages.
- 10. Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I denies the allegations which are contained in rhetorical paragraph 10 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Subject to further discovery, Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I asserts the following affirmative defenses:

1. The Plaintiff's damages, if any, should be barred or reduced as a result of the Plaintiff's comparative fault.

2. To the extent that the Plaintiff receives or has received payments for her alleged injuries and damages, any such payments constitute satisfaction and must be set-off against any

recoveries made in this litigation against Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I.

3. The Plaintiff has failed to mitigate her damages.

4. The Plaintiff's damages, if any, should be barred or reduced with respect to

Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I inasmuch as said damages are attributable, in whole or in

part, to the negligence of a non-party whose identity is presently unknown.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership I prays for judgment in its favor,

for its costs incurred in defending this action, and for all other appropriate relief.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Donald B. Kite, Sr.

Donald B. Kite, Sr., #11601-41

Attorney for Defendant

Kroger Limited Partnership I

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on August 19, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing document using the

Indiana E-Filing System (IEFS). I also certify that on August 19, 2021, the foregoing document

was served upon the following persons by IEFS:

Daniel Gore, #31322-53

KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE

104 S. Franklin Road

Bloomington, IN 47404

/s/ Donald B. Kite, Sr. Donald B. Kite, Sr.

ATTORNEY AT LAW 8082 Stafford Lane

Indianapolis, IN 46260

3

| STATE OF INDIANA |) | IN THE VIGO SUPERIOR COURT |
|------------------|-------|------------------------------|
| |) SS: | |
| COUNTY OF VIGO |) | CAUSE NO. 84D01-2107-CT-4178 |

NANCY GARRARD,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHP I,

Defendant.

COURT'S INITIAL PRE-TRIAL ORDER

Pursuant to Trial Rule 16 of the Indiana Rules of Trial Procedure, and LR84-TR16-5, the court enters the following Initial Pre-Trial Order.

- 1. The court finds that the issues have been closed and makes a preliminary determination that jurisdiction is proper.
- 2. The parties shall immediately commence discovery if they have not done so already.
- 3. The parties shall file and serve on all other parties a preliminary list of witnesses, exhibits and specific contentions (including injuries and damages) no later than thirty (30) days after entry of this Order.
- 4. All motions for leave to amend the pleadings and/or to join additional parties shall be filed no later than ninety (90) days after entry of this order.
- 5. The parties shall each file, or jointly file, a pre-trial report no later than one hundred twenty (120) days after entry of this Order. The pre-trial report shall contain the following.
 - a. A brief summary of the nature of the case (including any non-binding observations about whether liability is contested).
 - b. An estimate of days required for trial.

- c. Whether or not an agreement exists as to the selection of a mediator. In the event of no agreement, a striking panel will be named consistent with LR84-TR16-6.
- d. An estimate as to additional time needed to complete discovery necessary for trial.
- e. Any amendments to a party's list of witnesses and exhibits necessary for trial.
- f. Any anticipated pre-trial motions, including dispositive motions (such as motions to dismiss or for summary judgment) and anticipated trial motions such as motions in limine).
- g. A summary of any proposed stipulations or ones agreed upon by the parties.
- 6. Upon compliance with the foregoing provisions, either party may request the court to schedule a telephone conference for determination of a trial date. The court will then enter a formal Pre-trial Conference order setting forth final deadlines for discovery, disclosure of contentions, witnesses, and exhibits, pre-trial motions, and such other matters as the court deems necessary in management of the case.
- 7. Nothing in this Order shall preclude the parties from accelerating discovery as appropriate or convening mediation prior to filing a pre-trial report. A party shall not, however, request a pre-trial conference or scheduling conference without complying with this Order.
- 8. Any party failing to exercise good faith in complying with the terms of this order is subject to sanctions.

SO ORDERED this 19th day of August, 2021.

John T. Roach, Judge Vigo Superior Court Di

ge SEAL

SUPERIOR CU

Distribution:

Counsel of Record

| STATE OF INDIANA |) | IN THE VIGO SUPERIOR COURT |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| COUNTY OF VIGO |) SS : | CAUSE NO. 84D01-2107-CT-004178 |
| NANCY GARRARD | | |
| VS. | | |

KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP I

MOTION FOR MEDIATION ORDER

Comes now the plaintiff, by counsel, Daniel Gore, and respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order requiring the parties to mediate this case.

Respectfully submitted,
KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE
BY: /s/ Daniel Gore

Daniel Gore, 31322-53

Attorney for Plaintiff, Nancy Garrard

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have mailed, personally delivered or filed electronically a copy of this motion to Donald B. Kite, Sr., Attorney At Law, 8082 Stafford Lane, Indianapolis, IN 46260, on this <u>27th</u> day of August, 2021.

/s/ Daniel Gore______
Daniel Gore, 31322-53
Attorney for Plaintiff, Nancy Garrard

Daniel Gore, 31322-53 Attorney for Plaintiff, Nancy J. Garrard KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE 104 South Franklin Road Bloomington, IN 47404 Telephone: (812) 332-9451

Fax: (812) 331-5321

| Case 2:21-cv-00331-JPH-MJD | Document 1-1 | Filed 09/03/21 | Page 15 of 17 Page | File#:8/27/2021 1:53 PM Clerk Vigo County, Indiana | |
|---|------------------|----------------|--------------------|--|--|
| STATE OF INDIANA |)) SS: | IN THE VIGO S | UPERIOR COURT | | |
| COUNTY OF VIGO | · | CAUSE NO. 84I | 001-2107-CT-004178 | 3 | |
| NANCY GARRARD | | | | | |
| VS. | | | | | |
| KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP I MOTION FOR TRIAL DATE | | | | | |
| Comes now the plaintiff, by counsel, Daniel Gore, and respectfully requests as follows: | | | | | |
| 1. That this matter be set for jury trial. | | | | | |
| 2. That the date be established by the Court at the telephonic pre-trial conference | | | | | |
| that is being requested simultaneously with the filing of this Motion. | | | | | |
| - | ectfully submitt | | | | |

BY: /s/ Daniel Gore__

Daniel Gore, 31322-53

Attorney for Plaintiff, Nancy Garrard

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have mailed, personally delivered or filed electronically a copy of this motion to Donald B. Kite, Sr., Attorney At Law, 8082 Stafford Lane, Indianapolis, IN 46260 on this <u>27 th</u> day of August, 2021.

Daniel Gore, 31322-53 KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE 104 South Franklin Road Bloomington, IN 47404 Telephone: (812) 332-9451

Fax: (812) 331-5321

| STATE OF INDIANA |) | IN THE VIGO SUPERIOR COURT |
|------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| COUNTY OF VIGO |) | CAUSE NO. 84D01-2107-CT-004178 |
| NANCY GARRARD | | |

VS.

KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP I

MOTION FOR PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE

Comes now the plaintiff, by counsel, Daniel Gore, and respectfully

requests the Court to set a telephonic pre-trial conference in this cause of action, in order to set

appropriate trial deadlines. Respectfully submitted, KEN NUNN LAW OFFICE

BY: /s/ Daniel Gore

Daniel Gore, 31322-53

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF, Nancy Garrard

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have mailed, personally delivered or filed electronically a copy of this motion to Donald B. Kite, Sr., Attorney At Law, 8082 Stafford Lane, Indianapolis, IN 46260 on this 27th day of August, 2021.

/s/ Daniel Gore

Daniel Gore, 31322-53 Attorney for Plaintiff, Nancy Garrard

Daniel Gore, 31322-53 Attorney for Plaintiff, Nancy Garrard Ken Nunn Law Office 104 South Franklin Road Bloomington, IN 47404 Telephone: (812) 332-9451

Fax: (812) 331-5321